

2016-09-20

Engagement Working Group #4

Committee Attendees

Debbie Bonilla, Phyllis Bretholtz, Justin Crane, Eryn Johnson, Tara Greco, Justin Kang, Jeenal Sawla, Cathie Zusy

Staff/Consultants Present

Nupoor Monani, Melissa Peters

Committee Members Absent

Elaine DeRosa, Sarah Kennedy, Ben Peterson, Zuleka Queen-Postell

2 people attended from the public

Meeting Overview

1. Upcoming Engagement activities
2. Comments on Newspaper #1
3. Feedback on Corridor Street Team activity
4. Discussion

Committee Feedback

- It is important to ask people what the core values mean in action (what they would implement to achieve the core values) because people have different ideas about how to achieve the same goal
- Planning team needs to better communicate that change is happening and that this plan can influence the rate of change but cannot stop change
- Community members are resistant to participate in planning process because development is still happening.
- In asking for volunteers for upcoming working group meetings, it is important to use diverse methods to attract a diverse pool. Food, childcare should be provided.
- Community members offered to take newspapers and bring to various locations throughout the city
- Generally, the Corridor game was well received. The CHA did a similar activity with the Foundry project; the city should check with CHA to get feedback on their process. The purpose of the game needs to be made clear. Perhaps the game can be connected back to the six core values of the plan. The Committee would like to beta test the game.
- Future engagement activities may include walking tours of city corridors.
- The City communicated that the next meetings will be scheduled around key milestones. Dates will be set at least one month in advance. It was requested that Doodle Poll be used to select the best date for all committee members.